1 2 3 4 JS - 6 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 DAVID RASCON; TERESA RASCON, Case No. EDCV 11-01117 DDP (DTBx) 11 12 Plaintiffs, ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO DISMISS 13 v. WELLS FARGO BANK, NA FORMERLY KNOWN AS (F/K/A), [Motions filed on 9/16/11] 15 WACHOVIA MORTGAGE FSB, et al. 16 Defendants. 17 18 Presently before the court is the Motion to Dismiss 19 Plaintiffs' Complaint filed by Wells Fargo Bank, NA ("Wells Fargo"). Because Plaintiffs have not filed an opposition, the 20 21 court GRANTS the motion. 22 Central District of California Local Rule 7-9 requires an

Central District of California Local Rule 7-9 requires an opposing party to file an opposition to any motion at least twenty-one (21) days prior to the date designated for hearing the motion. C.D. Cal. L.R. 7-9. Additionally, Local Rule 7-12 provides that "[t]he failure to file any required paper, or the failure to file it within the deadline, may be deemed consent to the granting or denial of the motion." C.D. Cal. L.R. 7-12.

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case 5:11-cv-01117-DDP-DTB Document 25 Filed 09/28/11 Page 2 of 2 Page ID #:373

The hearing on Defendant's motion was set for October 17, 2011. Plaintiffs' opposition was therefore due by September 26, 2011. As of the date of this Order, Plaintiffs have not filed an opposition, or any other filing that could be construed as a request for a continuance. Accordingly, the court deems Plaintiffs' failure to oppose as consent to granting the motion to dismiss, and GRANTS the motion. Defendant's Motion to Strike Portions of Plaintiffs' Complaint is VACATED as moot. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: September 28, 2011 United States District Judge 2.4